

Exponential inequalities and the law of the iterated logarithm in unbounded forecasting games

Shin-ichiro Takazawa

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science,
Kobe University

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH A SINGLE HEDGE

Protocol:

$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots :$

Forecaster announces $v_n > 0.$

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_n \geq 0.$

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}.$

$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n (h(x_n) - v_n).$

END FOR

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i.$$

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH THE HEDGE $h(x) = x^2$

Protocol:

$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots:$

Forecaster announces $v_n > 0.$

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_n \geq 0.$

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}.$

$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n (x_n^2 - v_n).$

END FOR

- In Chapter 5 of Shafer and Vovk (2001), a game-theoretic version of the law of the iterated logarithm (LIL) is proved under the hedge $h(x) = x^2.$

Theorem 1 (Theorem 5.2 of Shafer and Vovk (2001)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. Then, in the unbounded forecasting game with the quadratic hedge $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^2$, Skeptic can force*

$$\mathbf{A}_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } |\mathbf{x}_n| = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{A}_n}{\log \log \mathbf{A}_n}}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{S}_n|}{\sqrt{2\mathbf{A}_n \log \log \mathbf{A}_n}} \leq 1.$$

- In the viewpoint of game-theoretic probability, Reality's moves and Forecaster's moves can not necessarily be limited.

⇓

We want to drop conditions on Reality's moves \mathbf{x}_n .

- Find $h(x)$ s.t.
the LIL holds.
no condition on x_n .

Namely...

- Investigate hedges $h(x)$ s.t. for some constant $c > 0$, Skeptic can force

$$A_n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2cA_n \log \log cA_n}} \leq 1.$$

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH THE HEDGE

$$h(x) = \exp(x^2/2) - 1$$

Protocol:

$$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots$:

Forecaster announces $v_n > 0$.

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_n \geq 0$.

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n (\exp(x_n^2/2) - 1 - v_n).$$

END FOR

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad C_n = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (= 2A_n).$$

Theorem 2 (Takazawa (2009)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. In the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}^2/2) - \mathbf{1}$, if Skeptic uses the following strategy \mathcal{P} :*

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{1-|t|} \mathbf{v}_n\right) \mathcal{K}_{n-1}, \\ V_n &= \frac{1}{v_n} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{1-|t|} \mathbf{v}_n\right) \right\} \mathcal{K}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

then Skeptic's capital process is bounded as

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{P}} \geq \exp\left(t\mathbf{S}_n - \frac{t^2}{1-|t|} \mathbf{A}_n\right),$$

for any $t \in (-1, 1)$.

- In Theorem 2, we consider the family of the strategy M_n and V_n depending on the parameter $t \in (-1, 1)$.
- We can obtain the LIL and exponential inequalities by choosing the parameter t well.

Theorem 3 (T. (2009)). *Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $C_n = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$. Then, in the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $h(x) = \exp(x^2/2) - 1$, Skeptic can force*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n < \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n| < \infty,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2C_n \log \log C_n}} \leq 1.$$

Theorem 4 (T. (2009)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. In the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}^2/2) - 1$, for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > \mathbf{0}$,*

$$\bar{P}(|\mathbf{S}_n| \geq \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}_n \leq \mathbf{b}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}} - \sqrt{\mathbf{b}} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

In particular, if all \mathbf{v}_n are given in advance, then for all $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{0}$,

$$\bar{P}(|\mathbf{S}_n| \geq \mathbf{a}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{A}_n} - \sqrt{\mathbf{A}_n} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

- In the second part of Theorem 4

We consider the strategy s.t. t depends on \mathbf{A}_n .

\Rightarrow We assume that all \mathbf{v}_n are given in advance.

- In Theorem 3 and the first part of Theorem 4

We consider the strategy s.t. t does not depend on \mathbf{A}_n .

\Rightarrow We should not assume that all \mathbf{v}_n are given in advance.

- If we translate Theorem 2-4 in measure-theoretic terms, we have the following results.

Theorem 5 (T. (2009)). *Let (\mathbf{X}_i) be a sequence of martingale differences.*

Set $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i$ and

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_i^2}{2} \right) - 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right].$$

For all $t \in (-1, 1)$, define

$$\mathbf{V}_n(t) = \exp \left(t\mathbf{S}_n - \frac{t^2}{1 - |t|} \mathbf{A}_n \right).$$

Then $\mathbf{V}_n(t)$ is a positive supermartingale with $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{V}_n(t)] \leq 1$.

- By Theorem 5, we have the LIL and an exponential inequality for a sequence of martingale differences.

Theorem 6 (T. (2009)). *Let (X_i) be a sequence of martingale differences.*

Set $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and

$$C_n = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[\exp \left(\frac{X_i^2}{2} \right) - 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right] = (2A_n).$$

If $C_n < \infty$ a.s. for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \infty$ a.s., then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2C_n \log \log C_n}} \leq 1 \quad a.s.$$

Theorem 7 (T. (2009)). *Let (\mathbf{X}_i) be a sequence of martingale differences.*

Set $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i$ and $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E} [\exp (\mathbf{X}_i^2/2) - 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$. Then, for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > 0$,

$$P(|\mathbf{S}_n| \geq \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}_n \leq \mathbf{b}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}} - \sqrt{\mathbf{b}} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

- **Theorem 7** is an extension of Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality.

Theorem 8 (Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality, Hoeffding (1963), Azuma (1967)). *If (\mathbf{X}_i) is a sequence of martingale differences such that $|\mathbf{X}_i| \leq \mathbf{c}_i$ for $\mathbf{c}_i \geq \mathbf{0}$, then for all $\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0}$,*

$$P(|S_n| \geq \mathbf{a}) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{c}_i^2}\right),$$

where $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i$.

- Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality essentially depends on the boundedness for martingale differences.
- Theorem 7 does not assume the boundedness for martingale differences.

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH THE HEDGE $h(x) = e^{|x|} - 1$

Protocol:

$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots:$

Forecaster announces $v_n > 0.$

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_n \geq 0.$

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}.$

$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n (e^{|x_n|} - 1 - v_n).$

END FOR

Skeptic can force

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2B_n \log \log B_n}} \leq 1,$$

where

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad B_n = 2e^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i.$$

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH THE HEDGE $h(x) = x^2$

Protocol:

$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots$:

Forecaster announces $v_n > 0.$

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_n \geq 0.$

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}.$

$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n (x_n^2 - v_n).$

END FOR

- We derive an exponential inequality in the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $h(x) = x^2.$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \quad A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i.$$

Theorem 9 (T. (2009)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{T}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i^2$. Set $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. In the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^2$, if Skeptic uses the following strategy \mathcal{P} :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_n &= t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}v_n\right) \mathcal{K}_{n-1}, \\ \mathbf{V}_n &= \frac{1}{v_n} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}v_n\right) \right\} \mathcal{K}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

then Skeptic's capital process is bounded as

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{P}} \geq \exp\left(t\mathbf{S}_n - \frac{t^2}{2}(\mathbf{T}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\right),$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 10 (T. (2009)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{T}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i^2$. Set $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. In the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^2$, for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > \mathbf{0}$,*

$$\bar{P}(|\mathbf{S}_n| \geq \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{T}_n + \mathbf{A}_n \leq \mathbf{b}) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2\mathbf{b}}\right).$$

- A corresponding measure-theoretic result to Theorem 9-10 is derived by Bercu and Touati (2008).

Theorem 11 (Bercu and Touati (2008) Ann. Appl. Probab., 18, 1848–1869).

Let (X_i) be a sequence of martingale differences with $E[X_i^2] < \infty$. Set

$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$. Put $A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$. For

any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \geq 0$, define

$$V_n(t) = \exp \left(tS_n - \frac{t^2}{2} (T_n + A_n) \right)$$

Then, $V_n(t)$ is a positive supermartingale with $E[V_n(t)] \leq 1$. Furthermore, for any $a, b > 0$,

$$P(|S_n| \geq a, T_n + A_n \leq b) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{a^2}{2b} \right).$$

- Bercu-Touati's inequality is an extension of Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality.

- By Theorem 9, we have the following result.

Theorem 12 (T. (2010)). *Let $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{T}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i^2$. Set $\mathbf{A}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i$. Then, in the unbounded forecasting game with the hedge $h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^2$, Skeptic can force*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{A}_n = \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{S}_n|}{\sqrt{2(\mathbf{T}_n + \mathbf{A}_n) \log \log(\mathbf{T}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)}} \leq 1.$$

- Investigate the protocol s.t.

Skeptic can force $\mathbf{T}_n = O(\mathbf{A}_n)$.

the hedge is not an exponential function but a polynomial.

⇓

Consider the unbounded forecasting game with double hedges.

THE UNBOUNDED FORECASTING GAME WITH DOUBLE HEDGES

Protocol:

$\mathcal{K}_0 := 1.$

FOR $n = 1, 2, \dots$:

Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $V_n \geq 0$ and $W_n \geq 0$.

Reality announces $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n + V_n(x_n^2 - v) + W_n(x_n^4 - w),$

$v, w > 0.$

END FOR

Theorem 13 (T. (2010)). *Let $\mathcal{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. In the unbounded forecasting game with double hedges, Skeptic can force*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{S}_n|}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} \leq 2\sqrt{v}.$$