



UiO **Department of Mathematics** University of Oslo

# **Clustered Conformal Prediction** for the Housing Market

The 13th Symposium on Conformal and Probabilistic Prediction with Applications, 2024

Anders Hjort

September 11, 2024

### Collaborators



Jonathan P. Williams North Carolina State University



Johan Pensar University of Oslo

••• Eiendomsverdi\*

Eiendomsverdi Norwegian fintech company

## **Motivation**

- Estimating the current value of a home is essential for homeowners, banks, real estate agents, insurance companies, investors, government, etc.
- Increasing use of automated valuation models (AVMs) instead of manual appraisal
- Extremely noisy prediction problem prediction uncertainty
- State-of-the-art: Tree-based models combined with temporal and spatial smoothing

#### AI in Property Valuation: The Most Consequential Algorithms You've Never Heard Of

ALEX ENGLER, SYLVIA BROWN / OCT 9, 2023



Shutterstock

If we told you about an AI built on the latest foundation models that shapes multi-trillion-dollar markets and 'walks' through every home in the United States, would you say it was science fiction?

Well, let us introduce you to Automated Valuation Models, or AVMs, invented a century ago.

Article by researchers at at Brookings Institution and Georgetown University, published in *Tech Policy* on 9th of October 2023.



Wall Street Journal article from 17th of November 2021.

## **Quantifying Uncertainty in AVMs**

- CP applied to the housing market previously:
  - Bellotti 2017: Adjust for temporal drift (London, UK)
  - Lim and Bellotti 2021: Design novel non-conformity scores for AVMs (Ames, US)
  - Hjort et al. 2024, preprint: Spatially-weighted CP (Oslo, Norway)
  - Bastos and Paquette 2024, preprint: Conformalized QR outperforms QR (San Francisco, US)

## **Quantifying Uncertainty in AVMs**

- CP applied to the housing market previously:
  - Bellotti 2017: Adjust for temporal drift (London, UK)
  - Lim and Bellotti 2021: Design novel non-conformity scores for AVMs (Ames, US)
  - Hjort et al. 2024, preprint: Spatially-weighted CP (Oslo, Norway)
  - Bastos and Paquette 2024, preprint: Conformalized QR outperforms QR (San Francisco, US)
- Our target: Approximately conditional coverage across municipalities
- We study *N* = 84 975 transactions from *K* = 286 different municipalities in Norway

## **Conformal prediction**

Indcutive conformal prediction approach:

- Split data set at random into training, calibration, test set
- Train a regression model  $\hat{f} : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$  on training set
- Calculate scores  $s_i = \Psi(X_i, Y_i; \hat{f})$  on calibration set

On test set:

$$C_{1-lpha}(X_{N+1}) = \{y \in \mathcal{Y}: \quad \Psi(X_{N+1}, y; \hat{f}) \leq \hat{q}_{1-lpha}\}$$

where  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  is an empirical quantile of  $s_1, ..., s_{N_{\text{calib}}}$ .

■ Naïve CP: Calculate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  once

✓ Marginal validity guarantees

X In practice high coverage gap in some regions

- Naïve CP: Calculate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  once
  - Marginal validity guarantees
  - X In practice high coverage gap in some regions
- Mondrian CP: Separate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  for each region
  - Theoretical guarantees on coverage per region
  - X Struggle in classes with few observations

- Naïve CP: Calculate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  once
  - Marginal validity guarantees
  - X In practice high coverage gap in some regions
- Mondrian CP: Separate ĝ<sub>1-α</sub> for each region
   ✓ Theoretical guarantees on coverage per region
   ✓ Struggle in classes with few observations
- Spatially weighted CP: Separate ĝ<sub>1-α</sub> for each observation
   ✓ Some theoretical guarantees (Mao et al. 2023), and empirical success (Hjort et al. 2024, preprint)
   ✗ Fails if data is sparse

- Naïve CP: Calculate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  once
  - Marginal validity guarantees
  - X In practice high coverage gap in some regions
- Mondrian CP: Separate ĝ<sub>1-α</sub> for each region
  ✓ Theoretical guarantees on coverage per region
  - X Struggle in classes with few observations
- Spatially weighted CP: Separate ĝ<sub>1-α</sub> for each observation
   ✓ Some theoretical guarantees (Mao et al. 2023), and empirical SUCCESS (Hjort et al. 2024, preprint)
   ✗ Fails if data is sparse
- Clustered CP: Cluster together similar regions, calculate  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}$  per cluster
  - ✓ Works well in classification (Ding et al. 2023)
  - X Small bias in coverage guarantees if clustering is poor

## **Clustered CP**

Algorithm:

- $\blacksquare$  Use fraction  $\gamma \in (0,1)$  of calibration data for clustering
- Cluster the ECDFs *F*<sub>1</sub>,..., *F*<sub>K</sub> into *M* < *K* clusters, minimizing within-cluster variance
- Let  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}^{(m)}$  be the  $(1-\alpha)$ th quantile of scores in cluster *m*

## **Clustered CP**

Algorithm:

- $\blacksquare$  Use fraction  $\gamma \in (0,1)$  of calibration data for clustering
- Cluster the ECDFs *F*<sub>1</sub>,..., *F*<sub>K</sub> into *M* < *K* clusters, minimizing within-cluster variance
- Let  $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}^{(m)}$  be the  $(1-\alpha)$ th quantile of scores in cluster *m*

Theoretical properties: Let  $\varepsilon_m$  be the maximum Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance between two classes in cluster *m*. Then,

$$P\Big(Y_{N+1}\in C(X_{N+1})|\text{class }k\Big)\geq 1-lpha-arepsilon_m, \quad orall k\in m.$$

## **Clustered CP: Synthetic data**



ECDFs of K = 100 classes (in grey) and M = 10 clusters (in colors). Non-conformity scores in class k is drawn from from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$ , with  $\mu_k \sim U(0, 1, ..., 10)$ .

## **Clustered CP: Synthetic data**



Mean Absolute Coverage Gap (MACG) as a function of the number of clusters.

#### The data set

We study  $N = 84\,975$  from the Norwegian housing market in 2015. Transactions come from K = 286 different municipalities;  $N_k < 100$  for more than 167 municipalities and  $N_k > 1\,000$  for 16 municipalities.

Variable	Unit	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max	Туре
Sale Price	NOK (mill.)	3.07	1.72	0.02	28.7	Numerical
Size	m <sup>2</sup>	100	54	0	819	Numerical
Gross Size	т <sup>2</sup>	112.42	67.48	0	1131	Numerical
Longitude	degrees	9.82	2.90	4.79	30.47	Numerical
Latitude	degrees	60.71	2.37	57.99	70.72	Numerical
Altitude	m	101.69	136.49	0	1151	Numerical
Bedrooms	-	2.56	1.20	0	15	Numerical
Municipality	-	-	-	-	-	Categorical

## **Experimental setup**

- Random split into training (25%), calibration (50%) and test (25%)
- Three non-conformity scores:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{CP}(X_i, Y_i) &= |Y_i - \hat{f}(X_i)| \quad (CP) \\ \Psi_{Norm.CP}(X_i, Y_i) &= |Y_i - \hat{f}(X_i)|/\hat{f}(X_i) \quad (Normalized CP) \\ \Psi_{CQR}(X_i, Y_i) &= \max\{\hat{Q}_{\alpha/2}(X_i) - Y_i, Y_i - \hat{Q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X_i)\} \quad (CQR) \end{split}$$

- We use a random forest to train *f*, and quantile regression forest (Meinshausen 2006) for CQR
- Clustering:
  - Experiment with cluster fractions  $\gamma \in (0.25, 0.5, 0.75)$ .
  - Discretize each ECDF, i.e.,  $\hat{F}_k \approx [q_{10}^k, q_{20}^k, \dots, q_{90}^k]$ . Solve by *M*-means clustering in  $\mathbb{R}^9$ .
  - If  $N_k < 10$ : Assign to NULL cluster, calibrate globally.



Straight line: Global calibration with  $\gamma = 0$  (CP). Dotted: Mondrian CP with  $\gamma = 0$ . Note that the range of MACG is different for the different non-conformity scores.



An example of the identified clusters with the Clustered CP methodology for M = 6 clusters. The grey municipalities either have no observations or are part of the NULL cluster.



The ECDF of the identified clusters with Clustered CP for M = 6, overlaying the individual ECDFs for each municipality.



Coverage gap for different bins of  $N_k$  for MCP, CP, and Clustered CP with M = 10. The results are for confidence level  $\alpha = 0.1$  with a fraction  $\gamma = 0.5$  set aside for clustering in Clustered CP.

### Discussion

- Clustered CP is a pragmatic version of Mondrian CP where similar classes are pooled together
- Induces a small coverage gap ε<sub>m</sub> in theory which is reduced if the clustering is good
- Clustering based on ECDFs outperforms clustering based on spatial distance
- Open questions:
  - How to decide the optimal number of clusters a priori?
  - How to handle the imbalanced classes?
  - Adjusting the CP intervals for temporal drift in the housing market

## **References** I

Bastos, JA and J Paquette (2024). *On the uncertainty of real estate price predictions.* Preprint downloaded from

https://rem.rc.iseg.ulisboa.pt/wps/pdf/REM\_WP\_0314\_2024.pdf.

- Bellotti, Anthony (2017). 'Reliable region predictions for automated valuation models'. In: Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 81.1, pp. 71–84.
- Ding, Tiffany, Anastasios Nikolas Angelopoulos, Stephen Bates, Michael Jordan and Ryan Tibshirani (2023). 'Class-Conditional Conformal Prediction with Many Classes'. In: Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems.
- Hjort, Anders, Gudmund Horn Hermansen, Johan Pensar and Jonathan P. Williams (2024). Uncertainty quantification in automated valuation models with locally weighted conformal prediction. arXiv: 2312.06531.

## **References II**

Lim, Zhe and Anthony Bellotti (2021). 'Normalized nonconformity measures for automated valuation models'. In: *Expert Systems with Applications* 180, pp. 115–165.
 Mao, Huiying, Ryan Martin and Brian J. Reich (2023). 'Valid Model-Free Spatial Prediction'. In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 119, pp. 1–11.

Meinshausen, Nicolai (2006). 'Quantile Regression Forests'. In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 7.35, pp. 983–999.

## Appendix: Synthetic data, details

Draw data from K = 100 different classes. Each class is drawn from a normal  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$ . Importantly: Some of the groups are drawn with similar  $\mu_k$ !

$$egin{aligned} G &\sim U(1,...,K) \ \mu_k &\sim U(1,2,...,\sqrt{K}) \ S|G &= k &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k,\sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$

## Appendix: Results from Hjort et al. 2024



The map shows the performance for different non-conformity measures (horizontally) and weighting methods (vertically) on a data set of N = 26362 observations from Oslo (2016-2017).

UiO **Department of Mathematics** University of Oslo

# **Anders Hjort**

# Clustered Conformal Prediction for the Housing Market



The 13th Symposium on Conformal and Probabilistic Prediction with Applications, 2024